

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS POST AND TRADEMARKS Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.impto.gov

			1		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/075,558	02/13/2002	Reiner Bindig	NY-CERA 237-US	8412	
24972 759	90 05/01/2003				
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 666 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER		
NEW YORK, N			DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)	10				
Office Astics 2		0/075,558	BINDIG ET AL.	(
Office Action Summary	Ex	aminer	Art Unit					
The MAN COLOR	Th	omas M. Dougherty	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this comp Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provious after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than this lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mone aerned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(6)	ONICA HON. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. rty (30) days, a reply within statutory period will appreply will, by statute, caus.	In no event, however, may a n the statutory minimum of thi obly and will expire SIX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commi	unication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>13 Febru</u>	uary 2002 .						
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the properties of Claims	ition for allowance ractice under <i>Ex p</i>	except for formal ma arte Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-25</u> is/are pending in	the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s)i		om consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16 and 18-20</u> is/are r	ejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 21-25</u> is/are obje								
8) Claim(s) are subject to res		ction requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 20</u>		cepted or h) objected	t to by the Evaminar					
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the draw	ving(s) be held in abeva	ance See 37 CED 1 95/a)					
11) The proposed drawing correction f	iled on is: a) approved b) app	isapproved by the Evaminer					
If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to t	this Office action.	supproved by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examine	er.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign prior	itv under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of	÷		(4) (4) (7)					
1. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have	e been received						
3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Inte * See the attached detailed Office act	s of the priority do	cuments have been i	received in this National Stag	е				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic prior	rity under 35 LLS C .8	eceived.	·				
a) The translation of the foreign la 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provision	al application has be	en recoived	ication).				
Attachment(s)	Pilot	,	33 120 anu/01 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s) <u>103</u> .	4) Interview Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	mmary	Part of Panor N					

Application/Control Number: 10/075,558

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (US 2001/0047796). Yamada et al. show (fig. 1) a piezoceramic multilayer actuator comprising an active region (111), said active region (111) further comprising inner electrodes (21, 22) led out alternately at a surface of said actuator, wherein, for parallel connection, said inner electrodes of identical polarity (21 and 22) of said active region (111) are connected to respective outer electrodes (31, 32), said outer electrodes (31, 32) being disposed on opposite sides of said actuator; electrode-free piezoelectrically inactive regions (113) further comprising a head region and a foot region; and a transitional region (112) having shrinkage and expansion properties lying between the shrinkage and the expansion properties of said active (111) and inactive (113) regions, said transitional regions (112) interposed between said active region (111) and said respective inactive head and foot regions (113).

Claims 13-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dam et al. (WO 92/06511) Dam et al. show (fig. 2) a piezoceramic multilayer actuator

Application/Control Number: 10/075,558

Art Unit: 2834

comprising an active region (210), said active region (210) further comprising inner electrodes (204) led out alternately at a surface of said actuator, wherein, for parallel connection, said inner electrodes of identical polarity (every other electrode) of said active region (210) are connected to respective outer electrodes (212, 212'), said outer electrodes (212, 212') being disposed on opposite sides of said actuator; electrode-free piezoelectrically inactive regions (202) further comprising a head region and a foot region; and a transitional region (206, 208) having shrinkage and expansion properties lying between the shrinkage and the expansion properties of said active (210) and inactive (202) regions, said transitional regions (206, 208) interposed between said active region (210) and said respective inactive head and foot regions (202).

Said transitional regions (206, 208), the electrode-to-electrode spacing between the inner electrodes increases in proximity to said inactive regions.

Said increase in spacing of said inner electrodes starts from the spacing of said inner electrodes in said active region and is effected stepwise in a sequence of natural numbers. Note that if 210 thickness, which is noted as .508 mm is arbitrarily designated as equivalent to two, then, the 208 and 206 (.762 mm and 1.016 mm) layers are equivalent to three and four.

Said increase in the spacing of said inner electrodes from said transition region through said head region (202) or foot region (202) starts from the spacing of said inner electrodes (210) in said active region (210) and is effected stepwise in a geometric progression. For example if the thickness of each 210 layer is arbitrarily designated as

Application/Control Number: 10/075,558

Art Unit: 2834

1 layer thick, then the thickness of layer $208 = 1 + (n \times 0.5)$ where n =1 and layer $206 = 1 + (2n \times .5)$.

The number of steps for increasing the spacing between said electrodes correlates to the differences between the shrinkage and expansion properties between said active region and at least one of said inactive regions. Note that as Dam et al. show the claimed invention such a property is inherent in it. Note also that this is ultimately a goal of the invention and its recitation does not further limit the claimed structural features, thus as it now stands, this recitation doesn't carry an patentable weight.

The maximum spacing between the last two electrodes in said transitional region (208, 206) is up to 2 mm.

Said maximum spacing is 0.1 to 1.0 mm. Note that Dam et al. show their maximum thickness as 1.016 mm with a tolerance of \pm 0.013 mm which range provides for an effective thickness of about 1.0 mm.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17 and 21-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to show a multilayer actuator wherein an increase in the thickness of inner electrodes in a transitional region between an active region and an inactive region is

effected stepwise according to a logarithmic scale. Additionally, the prior art fails to show or fairly suggest respective transitional regions consisting of modified piezoceramic material, such that the shrinkage and expansion properties of the material lies within the shrinkage and the expansion properties of the active region.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited shows structural features similar to that claimed by the Applicants.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (703) 308-1628.

md

April 29, 2003

79.DO